

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,289	01/22/2002	Yutaka Nakata	045237-0103	4765	
22428 7	7590 08/05/2003				
FOLEY AND	LARDNER		EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007		•	TSIDULKO, MARK		
WASHINGIC	IN, DC 20007		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2875		
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del>_</del> ·	ľ			
*3	Application No.	plicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/051,289	NAKATA, YUTAKA				
	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Mark Tsidulko	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 09 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extending of the fee. The appropriate extending set in the final Office action	nsion 1; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. $\boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) (they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying t	the			
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s)::					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: <i>Ne</i>		dered but does NOT place the	е			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	□ will be entered and an w or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10.  ☐ Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<del></del>				



Application No. 10/051,289

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Limitation of claim 2 "reflection surface has a shape that is a part of an ovar larger than a front surface and a back surface of the lens" is a new subject matter because originally claim 2 and specification (pages 8 and 9) disclosed: "reflecor is structured such that the vertical cross section and the horizintal cross section are formed in a oval surface larger than the I ins"...

Sandra O'Shea Supervisory Patent Examiner **Technology Center 2800**